



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,036	10/20/2005	Yevgeni Besidski	101023-1P US	7802
22466	7590	06/24/2009	EXAMINER	
ASTRA ZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
GLOBAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY				
1800 CONCORD PIKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTON, DE 19850-5437			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/554,036	BESIDSKI ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Laura L. Stockton	1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 41

(1) Laura L. Stockton. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karen H. Kondrad {Reg. No. 38,212}. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2009

**Time:** 12:27pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative to inquire whether or not a response had been filed to the outstanding Office Action of December 16, 2008. The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Wednesday, June 17, 2009 @12:27pm and Thursday, June 18, 2009 @4:48pm. A return telephone call to the Examiner had not been received. The Examiner again called on Monday, June 22, 2009 @10:56am but Applicant's representative was unavailable. Therefore, the instant application is being abandoned.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

